

TRANSPORT ECONOMICS: THE IMPACT OF TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF RURAL AREAS

Marija Ilievska Kostadinović¹, Gruja Kostadinović²

^{1,2} Faculty of Law, Security and Management "Constantine the Great",
University "Union-Nikola Tesla", Belgrade

Received: 4.4.2025; Accepted: 19.5.2025

Review Article

Corresponding: marija.ilievska@konstantinveliki.edu.rs

Abstract: Transport services represent a vital component of modern society and the global economy. They encompass a wide range of activities—from the movement of people and goods to complex logistics, intelligent systems, and digital technologies that enhance transport efficiency. With the growth of globalization and increasingly interconnected markets, the development of transport infrastructure has become a fundamental prerequisite for economic growth and international competitiveness. A historical analysis of transport services offers valuable insights into how states have used infrastructure as an instrument of economic policy, and how the concept of sustainability has become indispensable in shaping new strategic approaches to infrastructure planning.

This paper examines the evolution of transport infrastructure, the role of transportation in economic development, and the contemporary challenges associated with sustainability and environmental criteria. Special emphasis is placed on the historical development of transport systems and various examples of economic policies that have used transportation as a mechanism of economic influence and national development.

Keywords: transport services, infrastructure, sustainability, economic policy, transport history, development, transportation, environmental policy

Introduction

Transport, as an essential component of infrastructure, is far more than a physical network of roads, railways, ports, and airports—it is a reflection of societal structures, economic ambitions, and political priorities throughout history. The development of transport services has consistently mirrored the evolution of civilizations, revealing how societies have used mobility not only to facilitate the movement of people and goods but also to establish economic connectivity, extend their geopolitical influence, and assert territorial control. From the earliest trade routes to complex modern logistics systems, transport has always played a central role in shaping human progress. The construction and upgrading of transport infrastructure have historically gone beyond technical undertakings—they represent strategic decisions tied to national development, social integration, and geopolitical stability (Ivanović et al., 2020).

In today's global context—where sustainability, environmental responsibility, and technological innovation are integral to development policy—it is increasingly important to reexamine the evolving role of transport services. Modern transport systems

significantly influence a country's international image and competitiveness. Efficient, safe, and sustainable infrastructure sends a powerful message about a nation's level of modernization, economic vitality, and openness to global exchange. Well-connected railway networks, state-of-the-art airports, and intelligent logistics solutions not only improve mobility but also enhance international trade, tourism, and cultural exchange, thus reshaping the way a country is perceived globally (Dašić et al., 2023; 2024).

High-speed trains, digitized logistics hubs, and integrated multimodal transport systems have become hallmarks of technological advancement, innovation, and global relevance (Dašić, 2013; Dašić & Savić, 2020). The transition from ancient Roman roads to 21st-century smart mobility and “green logistics” exemplifies how transportation remains at the forefront of socio-economic transformation (Ahmić et al., 2016). As we confront the challenges of climate change, urban congestion, and resource depletion, the integration of sustainable practices into transport planning is not only desirable but imperative.

This paper aims to explore the historical evolution of transport systems, analyze their impact on econo-

mic and social development, and highlight the importance of embedding sustainability principles in future infrastructure strategies. By viewing transportation through a historical and forward-looking lens, we can better understand its transformative role in building resilient, inclusive, and future-ready societies.

Historical Development of Transport Infrastructure

Trade, human interaction, and transportation have always existed, as very few societies throughout history relied solely on a self-sustaining economy (Zolak, 2024). As trade expanded and the need for political dominance grew, the development of transport systems accelerated and often paralleled the rise and expansion of empires. The evolution of transportation from prehistoric times to the Industrial Revolution was a gradual yet continuous process. Roman road networks, for instance, were among the first organized forms of infrastructure, enabling both economic integration and military supremacy (Chisholm, 1990). These roads supported Roman hegemony, just as transport routes had previously supported the ambitions of Persian, Chinese, and New World rulers.

Soon after, grain trade flourished across the Mediterranean, and new routes emerged linking the Orient with Europe. Eventually, the Iberian, Dutch, French, and British empires were fundamentally based on trade and maritime transport (Stanković & Dašić, 2023).

Major transformations in transportation that laid the foundation for the modern world began to appear in Europe several centuries before the year 1300. During this period, a network of trading centers began to replace the feudal economic order. One of the key catalysts for this shift was the series of Crusades, which contributed to breaking down the feudal barriers that had limited the free movement of people and goods. The military campaigns of Charlemagne, whose armies often raided between agricultural cycles of sowing and harvest, illustrate the growing mobility of the population by the 9th century—frequently accompanied by violence. His military journeys also facilitated the exchange of knowledge-bringing back expertise in building bridges and monumental architecture.

By the 11th century, overland transport had already incorporated several technological innovations, such as iron horseshoes, improved harnesses for draft animals, pivoted

axles for carts, and more advanced techniques for constructing bridges. However, due to the high cost of road transport, most traffic still relied on coastal and riverine routes. In this regard, Europe held a natural advantage as a peninsula with a highly indented coastline and abundant river systems that facilitated internal trade.

Advancements in navigation further stimulated maritime commerce (Radaković & Marinković, 2021). States began issuing official charters to ports, mechanical handling of cargo improved, and new types of ships designed specifically for trade emerged (Stanković, 2021; Stanković & Virijević-Jovanović, 2024). As early as the 1430s, Portugal initiated its first Atlantic expeditions. At the same time, projects were launched to improve inland waterway navigation and construct canals. The Peace of Westphalia in 1648 granted broader access to German rivers for various stakeholders. In the centuries that followed, Europe developed an extensive canal network, and road infrastructure was gradually modernized, including the introduction of toll roads.

A new wave of transport innovation emerged nearly two centuries ago with the introduction of the steam engine in both water and land transport. Roughly a century later, the techno-

logical foundations laid by the steam age evolved into a range of modern transport modes—including aviation, maritime, rail, and road systems—that continue to dominate today’s global communications and logistics networks (Garri-son, 2003). The true expansion of transportation occurred during the 19th century with the rise of the railway, a symbol of industrial progress and urbanization (Hobsbawm, 1962).

In the 20th century, the rise of the automobile industry and the construction of highway systems—such as the U.S. Interstate Highway System—enabled mass transport on an unprecedented scale (Kovačević, Dašić, 2022). Meanwhile, the development of the aviation industry after World War II revolutionized global connectivity (Rodrigue, 2020). Infrastructure development became increasingly tied to national economic policies, as investment in transportation was recognized as a vital stimulus for economic growth, regional integration, and global competitiveness.

Infrastructure as an Instrument of Economic Policy

Infrastructure represents the structural foundation of economic growth in market economies. The absence of a comprehensive approach to infra-

structure planning reflects a broader neglect of long-term economic growth as a core goal of economic policy-especially within the framework of Germany's social market economy since its inception after World War II (Vukša et al., 2024). Drawing from Jöchimsen's classification of infrastructure into material, institutional, and personal categories, infrastructure policy has been proposed as an indirect yet powerful mechanism to foster sustainable economic growth. The rationale behind this approach lies in the fundamental uncertainty surrounding future economic development and the limits of our current understanding of its processes and outcomes (Buhr, 2009).

Transport corridors-vital arteries of the global economy-enable the circulation of people and the vast flows of goods and services that underpin modern life. These corridors connect production and consumption zones, promote international trade, support tourism, and enhance the mobility of labor, all contributing to economic activity valued in the trillions of dollars. Within this interconnected global landscape, transport infrastructure allows countries to specialize in sectors where they have comparative advantages, fostering

increased efficiency and overall global economic progress.

By reducing geographic and temporal barriers, transportation facilitates the integration of distant economies and cultures, encouraging not only economic cooperation but also cultural exchange. This exchange contributes to greater mutual understanding among nations, forming a crucial basis for global peace and stability-particularly significant in a world still shadowed by the threat of thermonuclear conflict (Dašić et al., 2020; Cvijanović et al., 2023). In such an environment, the development of inclusive global communities based on cooperation and mutual respect becomes both a strategic and moral imperative. Moreover, these interactions spur intellectual, artistic, and social creativity, enriching humanity with a more diverse, dynamic, and spiritually vibrant global society (Dempsey, 2002).

In many countries, transport infrastructure has also been strategically employed to mitigate regional inequalities, stimulate employment, and support rural development. Keynesian economic policy during the interwar period and the post-WWII era relied heavily on large-scale public works-including the construction of roads, railways, and bridges-as a tool for economic reco-

very and crisis management (Skidelsky, 2009).

Because infrastructure facilitates the exchange of goods and services, it plays a critical role in shaping a country's economic trajectory. When a nation experiences an exogenous increase in GDP per capita, political decision-makers are faced with a fundamental dilemma-whether to invest the additional income in infrastructure (which involves immediate fiscal costs but delayed benefits), or to allocate it toward consumption (which offers instant political gains but little long-term impact) (Brueckner, 2021).

In recent years, large-scale infrastructure initiatives-such as China's Belt and Road Initiative-have illustrated how infrastructure has evolved into a key geopolitical instrument and a means for exerting long-term economic influence (Summers, 2016). However, economic profitability is no longer the sole metric of success. Increasingly, sustainability and environmental considerations are integrated into infrastructure planning and evaluation (Pavlović et al., 2023; Stan-ković et al., 2024).

Infrastructure has thus become a cornerstone of modern economic policy-directly influencing GDP growth, employment, productivity,

and a nation's global competitiveness. Strategic investments in road networks, railways, energy systems, and digital infrastructure not only enhance economic performance but also strengthen regional and international connectivity.

According to the World Bank (2020), a 1% increase in infrastructure investment relative to GDP can yield a 0.4% growth in the short term and up to 1.5% in the long term-underscoring the critical importance of such investments for macroeconomic stability. Moreover, infrastructure spending has a multiplier effect, boosting activity in associated sectors such as construction, industry, and transportation (Calderón & Servén, 2010).

The role of the state in shaping infrastructure policy is pivotal. Within the European Union, infrastructure investment is closely aligned with cohesion policy and regional development objectives. Initiatives such as the Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T) and financial instruments like those of the European Investment Bank serve to reduce disparities among regions and promote integrated development (European Commission, 2022).

In Serbia, the National Investment Plan and programs like "Serbia

2025” aim to modernize the country’s road, rail, and digital infrastructure. According to the Ministry of Construction, Transport and Infrastructure (MGSI, 2021), investments in infrastructure from 2020 to 2025 will exceed €14 billion, marking the largest infrastructure program in the nation's history. These investments are expected to generate tens of thousands of jobs and significantly improve the business environment and quality of life. Beyond economic outcomes, infrastructure contributes to social inclusion, poverty reduction, and greater access to public services—especially in underserved and rural areas (OECD, 2017). Investments in sustainable infrastructure—such as green transport, energy efficiency, and digitalization—are increasingly prioritized as part of the transition toward a green economy and resilience to climate change.

In conclusion, infrastructure as an instrument of economic policy is not merely a means of physical connectivity—it is a driving force behind comprehensive development. Its role in post-crisis recovery and in transitional economies like Serbia highlights the strategic importance of well-planned, sustainable infrastructure investment

for long-term growth and societal well-being.

Sustainability in Transport Planning

In the context of accelerating urbanization, sustainable transport has become one of the key goals of modern transport policy—one to which numerous cities and transportation authorities around the world have increasingly committed. Transportation plays a pivotal role in strategies for urban sustainability and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Public transport, walking, and cycling are increasingly promoted due to their lower environmental impact compared to private motor vehicles.

Although transport is not listed as a standalone Sustainable Development Goal (SDG), it plays a crucial role in achieving many of the 17 goals, as numerous targets depend directly or indirectly on the development of sustainable transport systems. Its contribution includes reducing greenhouse gas emissions, enhancing food security, improving health and well-being, increasing access to education, empowering women and supporting their employment, ensuring the dignity and independence of older adults and persons with disabilities, as well

as advancing access to clean and affordable energy, developing sustainable urban environments, and preserving biodiversity and ocean health (Selenić & Krstić, 2024). Several of the 169 SDG targets explicitly reference transport's enabling function.

Achieving these goals is a complex and multifaceted challenge. Policymakers are increasingly required to respond to the emergence of new technologies and organizational models—such as the sharing economy, autonomous vehicles, and the Internet of Things (IoT)—which can either support or complicate efforts toward sustainability. In this process, conceptual frameworks, practical tools, and cross-sectoral knowledge can provide important guidance. Addressing sustainability challenges requires multilevel cooperation, involvement of diverse stakeholders, and coordination across sectors—with national governments playing a critical role as the dominant political entities in the current global governance system.

The three pillars of sustainability—social, economic, and environmental—form the foundation of the sustainable transport concept in both academic literature and strategic policy documents. An illustrative example is the declaration of the EU Council of Transport Ministers, ba-

sed on the definition from the Canadian Centre for Sustainable Transportation, which emphasizes accessibility, economic viability, efficiency, and the reduction of environmental harm as key principles of sustainable transport. Similar guidelines have been adopted by the United Nations, highlighting the importance of access, safety, equity, emission reduction, and addressing urban traffic congestion and prolonged commuting times (Karjalainen & Juhola, 2019).

Sustainable transport entails the balanced integration of economic, environmental, and social considerations. Transport is responsible for approximately 23% of global CO₂ emissions (IEA, 2021), making it a priority area in climate policy. In recent decades, many countries have introduced measures such as investment in public transportation, the development of electric vehicles, and the implementation of “green” logistics systems (Banister, 2005).

The European Union, through the European Green Deal, has set an ambitious objective: to achieve a climate-neutral transport system by 2050. This requires substantial investments in rail infrastructure and alternative fuels (European Commission, 2019). In this context, economic policy must provide both finan-

cial incentives and regulatory frameworks to support the transition toward sustainability.

Conclusion

The historical development of transport services has demonstrated that infrastructure is far more than a physical network—it is a mirror of societal values, political priorities, and economic strategies. Roads, railways, ports, and digital corridors are not merely channels of connection; they are instruments through which a nation's development, its vision for the future, and its capacity to address global challenges are reflected (Penezić, Bajić, 2024).

Today, in an era marked by climate change, energy crises, and geopolitical instability, sustainability has become a central element in the planning and implementation of transport systems. Modern infrastructure projects are no longer evaluated solely based on kilometers built or project costs but are increasingly judged by their environmental footprint, social inclusiveness, and long-term economic benefits.

Economic policy can no longer ignore the ecological and social consequences of transportation development. Instead, these aspects

must be integrated into every phase of infrastructure planning and implementation. This holistic approach requires coordination across various sectors—urban planning, finance, environmental protection, and technological innovation. In this way, infrastructure is transformed into a tool that not only drives economic development but also enhances the quality of life for all citizens (Lunić, Penezić, 2024).

A historical perspective helps us understand the importance of long-term strategic thinking and the need for integrated approaches that link transport, economy, and sustainability. Only through such integrated frameworks can we develop resilient, efficient, and inclusive transport systems that serve not just the economy—but also the people. This is why, more than ever before, infrastructure today represents both the foundation of contemporary economic policy and a cornerstone of a responsible and sustainable future.

References

- Ahmić, D., Bašić, I., & Novalić, B. (2016). Infrastructure characteristics as an important factor of sustainable development of sports tourism. *Sport, media*

Ilievska Kostadinović, M., Kostadinović, G. Transport economics: the impact of transport infrastructure on the economic development of rural areas

- and business, 2(1), 25-29.
<https://doi.org/10.58984/>
- Banister, D. (2005). *Unsustainable Transport: City Transport in the New Century*. Routledge.
- Boettke, P. J. (2025). The Political Infrastructure of Economic Development. *Human Systems Management*, 13(2), 89-100.
<https://doi.org/10.3233/HSM-1994-13202>
- Buhr, W. (2009) : Infrastructure of the market economy, *Volks-wirtschaftliche Diskussions-beiträge*, No. 132-09, Universität Siegen, Fakultät III, Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Wirtschaftsinformatik und Wirtschaftsrecht, Siegen
- Brueckner, M. (2021). Infrastructure and Economic Growth. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(11), 543.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14110543>
- Garrison, W. L. (2003) *Historical Transportation Development*. Institute of Transportation Studies University of California at Berkeley
- Dempsey, P. D. (2002) *Transportation: A Legal History*.
<https://digitalcommons.du.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1210&context=tlj>
- Dašić, D., Vitković, B. & Borojević, K. (2024). The role of communication management in the development of rural areas. *Ekonomika Poljoprivrede*, 71(4), 1421–1435.
<https://doi.org/10.59267/ekoPolj24041421D>
- Dašić D., Živković D., Vujić T. (2020) Rural tourism in development function of rural areas in Serbia. *Economics of Agriculture*, Year 67, No. 3, 719-733
doi:10.5937/ekoPolj2003719D;
- Dašić D., Savić, M. (2020) Uticaj kulturno-istorijske baštine na atraktivnost destinacije. *Baština*. br 52, str. 247-260, DOI: 10.5937/bastina30-27671
- Dašić D., (2013) Brendiranje država i nacija. *Kultura*, br 139, 396-415, DOI 10.5937/kultura1339396D
- Dašić, D., Kostadinović, G., & Kim, A. (2023). Market research as a function of marketing development in sports. *SPORTICOPEdia - SMB*, 1(1), 273-283. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smbic2301273d>
- Chisholm, M. (1990). *Modern Transport Geography*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Calderón, C., & Servén, L. (2010). *Infrastructure and economic development in Sub-Saharan Africa*. *Journal of African Economies*, 19(suppl_1), i13–i87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejp022>
- European Commission. (2019). *The*

- European Green Deal. - <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52019DC0640>
- European Commission. (2022). Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T). Retrieved from <https://transport.ec.europa.eu>
- FHWA. (2020). The Dwight D. Eisenhower National System of Interstate and Defense Highways. U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Hobsbawm, E. (1962). *The Age of Revolution: Europe 1789–1848*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- IEA. (2021). *Tracking Transport 2021*. International Energy Agency. <https://www.iea.org/reports/tracking-transport-2021>
- MGSI. (2021). Investicioni plan „Србија 2025”. Министарство грађевинарства, саобраћаја и инфраструктуре. Retrieved from <https://www.mgsi.gov.rs>
- Karjalainen, E.L., Juhola S. (2019) Framework for Assessing Public Transportation Sustainability in Planning and Policy-Making. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 1028; doi:10.3390/su11041028
- Kovačević, A., & Dašić, D. (2022). The importance of knowledge management and the impact of social networks application on industry 5.0. *Ekonomski signali: poslovni magazin*, 17(2), 51-64. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ekonsig2202051K>
- Rodrigue, J.-P. (2020). *The Geography of Transport Systems* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Skidelsky, R. (2009). *Keynes: The Return of the Master*. Allen Lane.
- Summers, T. (2016). China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(9), 1628–1643.
- Stanković, M., Dašić, D. (2023) The stone of the iron road - a forgotten legacy, *Kultura polisa*, Vol 20, no 2. 266-285, <https://doi.org/10.51738/Kpolis.a2023.20.2r.266sd>
- Stanković, M. (2021) Traffic system and railway police. *Srpska Akademska Misao*, 6(1), 7-20. <https://www.sam.edu.rs/index.php/sam/article/view/6>
- Stanković, M., Virijević-Jovanović, S: (2024) Buka železničkog saobraćajnog sistema kao vid narušavanja ekološke ravnoteže. *Horizonti menadžmenta*, 4(1), 93-106. <https://hm.edu.rs/index.php/hm/article/view/36>
- Stanković, M., Misić, V., & Siotropou, I. (2024). Integration of smart technologies into the infrastructure of modern sports stadiums. *SPORTICO-*

- PEDIA - SMB, 2(1), 325-336. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smbic240201325s>
- Selenić, U., & Krstić, D. (2024). The role of the media in promoting the attractions of dark tourism as a selective form of tourism. *SPORTICOPEDIA - SMB*, 2(1), 171-182. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smbic240201171>
- Zolak, V.. (2024). The role of the media in creating the image of a tourist destination. *Sport, media and business*, 10(1), 25-44. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smb2401025z>
- Radaković, M., & Marinković, G. (2021). The influence of globalization and commercialization in sport. *Sport, media and business*, 7(1), 49-57. <https://doi.org/10.58984/>
- Pavlović, M., Perić, M., & Milunović, M. (2023). Possibilities of sustainable development of sports tourism in Serbia. *SPORTICOPEDIA - SMB*, 1(1), 251-264. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smbic2301251p>
- Cvijanović, D., Vujko, A., & Bojović, R. (2023). Indicators of the development of sports and recreational tourism as an integral product of rural destinations. *SPORTICOPEDIA - SMB*, 1(1), 171-177. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smbic2301171c>
- Lunić, T., & Penezić, S. (2024). Culture of orthodoxy and journalism in contemporary society. *SPORT, MEDIA AND BUSINESS*, 10(2), 121-138. <https://doi.org/10.58984/smb24021211>
- Penezić, S., & Bajić, P. (2024). The Importance of Sports in the editorial Policy of Radio Broadcasting Companies in Serbia. In D. Dašić (Ed.), *SPORTICOPEDIA - SMB 2024*. 2(1) (pp 33-144). <https://doi.org/10.58984/smbic240201133p>
- Ivanović, J., Gajević, A., & Gajić, I. (2020). Sports and recreational activities of young people in rural areas of rs. *Sport, media and business*, 6(1), 50-55. <https://doi.org/10.58984/>
- Vukša, S., Milojević, I., Mihajlović, M. (2024) The place and role of fiscal policy in contemporary economies. *Srpska Akademska Misao*, 9(1), 7-18. <https://www.sam.edu.rs/index.php/sam/article/view/63>
- World Bank. (2018). Sustainable Mobility for All: Global Roadmap of Action Toward Sustainable Mobility. <https://www.sum4all.org/>
- World Bank. (2020). Infrastructure in the Time of COVID-19: A Global Perspective. World Bank Group. Retrieved from <https://www.worldbank.org>

EKONOMIKA SAOBRAĆAJA: UTICAJ SAOBRAĆAJNE INFRASTRUKTURE NA EKONOMSKI RAZVOJ RURALNIH SREDINA

Sažetak: Saobraćajne usluge predstavljaju ključnu komponentu savremenog društva i ekonomije. One obuhvataju širok spektar aktivnosti, od prevoza lica i robe, do logističkih, inteligentnih i digitalnih sistema koji unapređuju efikasnost transporta. S povećanjem globalizacije i umrežavanja tržišta, razvoj infrastrukture za transport postao je preduslov ekonomskog rasta i međunarodne konkurentnosti. Istorijska analiza saobraćajnih usluga pruža uvid u to kako su države koristile infrastrukturu kao instrument ekonomske politike, te kako je koncept održivosti postao neophodan u novim strateškim pristupima planiranju. Kroz ovaj rad analiziraćemo evoluciju transportne infrastrukture, ulogu saobraćaja u ekonomskom razvoju, kao i savremene izazove povezane sa održivošću i ekološkim kriterijumima. Poseban akcenat biće stavljen na istorijski razvoj i primere različitih ekonomskih politika koje su koristile transport kao mehanizam ekonomskog uticaja.

Ključne reči: saobraćajne usluge, infrastruktura, održivost, ekonomska politika, istorija saobraćaja, razvoj, transport, ekološka politika